

PSYCH**N**OLOGY **J**OURNAL The Other Side of Technology

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Luciano Gamberini

Department of General Psychology, Padova University, Italy.

Giuseppe Riva

Catholic University of Milan, Italy.

Anna Spagnolli

Department of General Psychology, Padova University, Italy.

EDITORIAL BOARD

Mariano Alcañiz Raya: Universidad Politecnica de Valencia. Valencia, Spain. Cristian Berrío Zapata: Pontificia Universidad Javeriana. Bogotà, Colombia. Rosa Baños: Universidad de Valencia, Valencia, Spain. David Benyon: Napier University, Edinburgh, United Kingdom. Cristina Botella: Univeritat Jaume I. Castellón, Spain. Antonella de Angeli: University of Manchester. United Kingdom Jonathan Freeman: Goldsmiths College, University of London. United Kingdom. Christine Hine: University of Surrey. Guildford, United Kingdom. Christian Heath: King's College. London, United Kingdom. Wijnand Ijsselsteijn: Eindhoven University of Technology. Eindhoven, The Netherlands. Giulio Jacucci: Helsinki Institute for Information Technology. Helsinki, Finland. David Kirsh: University of California. San Diego (CA), USA. Matthew Lombard: Temple University. Philadelphia (PA), USA. Albert "Skip" Rizzo: University of Southern California. Los Angeles (CA), USA. Ramakoti Sadananda: Rangsit University. Bangkok, Thailand. Angela Schorr: Universität Siegen. Siegen, Germany. Paul F.M.J. Verschure: Universitat Pompeu Fabra. Barcelona, Spain. Alexander Voiskounsky: Moscow State University. Moscow, Russia. John A Waterworth: Umea University. Umea, Sweden. Brenda K. Wiederhold: Interactive Media Institute-Europe. Brussels, Belgium.

CONSULTING EDITORS

Hans Christian Arnseth: University of Oslo. Olso, Norway. Marco Casarotti: University of Padova. Padova, Italy. Roy Davies: Lund University. Lund, Sweden. Andrea Gaggioli: Cathilic University of Milan. Milan, Italy. Pietro Guardini: Padova University. Padova, Italy. Frode Guribye: University of Bergen. Bergen, Norway. Raquel Navarro-Prieto: Universitat Oberta de Catalunya. Castelldefels, Spain. Stephan Roy: Hospital Sainte Anne. Paris, France. Carlos Ruggeroni: National University of Rosario. Rosario, Argentina.

EDITORIAL ASSISTANTS

Fabiola Scarpetta, Teresa Tona: University of Padova. Padova, Italy.

PSYCHNOLOGY JOURNAL, PNJ PUBLISHED ON-LINE SINCE SUMMER 2002 WEB SITE: <u>HTTP://WWW.PSYCHNOLOGY.ORG</u> SUBMISSIONS: <u>ARTICLES@PSYCHNOLOGY.ORG</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Editorial Preface	p. 113
SPECIAL ISSUE: Mixed Realities in the Urban Environment	
The Use of Virtual and Mixed Reality Environments for Urban Behavioural Studies Andrew J. Park, Thomas W. Calvert, Patricia L. Brantingham, Paul J. Brantingham	p. 119
Tags and the City Minna Isomursu	p. 131
Experiences of Evaluating Presence in Augmented Realities Rod McCall, Anne-Kathrin Braun	p. 157
Experience Design for Interactive Products: Designing Technology Augmented Urban Playgrounds for Girls Aadjan van der Helm, Walter Aprile, David Keyson	p. 173
Other Contents	
Decoding Cognitive States from fMRI Data Using Support Vector Regression Maria Grazia Di Bono, Marco Zorzi	p. 189
Contrasting the Effectiveness and Efficiency of Virtual Reality and Real Environments in the Treatment of Acrophobia Carlos M. Coelho, Carlos F. Silva, Jorge A. Santos, Jennifer Tichon, Guy Wallis	p. 203

Editorial Preface

Urban mixed realities represent a growing and exciting area of research, which requires new ways of thinking about issues such as usability, place and presence. Urban situations are dynamic and can change rapidly, with a vast array of complex and exciting rhythms. They cover a whole spectrum of complex and chaotic happenings which span organisational and material configurations. These characteristics are both challenges and motivations for exploring mixed reality technology solutions, in particular with respect to finding methods to improve the ways in which participants can relate to the environment and to others. Mixed realities cover all situations in which digital objects are combined with physical features of the environment. **Technologies** include pervasive, ubiguitous, multimodal, and augmented solutions. reality Current projects explore and evaluate forms of interaction and presence in urban environments which use mixed reality technologies to improve or create new practices. This can be achieved in two ways: either by augmenting the engagement with others (including encounters, feelings, exchanges, coexperiences) or through augmenting the engagement with the environment (places, or things), which includes playing, understanding and interpreting the environment in new ways.

This special issue originates from two preparatory events we co-organised at conferences, both of which created the context to discuss design, experience, and mixed reality technology for the urban environment. A panel at the Presence 2007 conference "Urban Mixed Realties: Challenges to the Traditional View of Presence" (McCall et al. 2007) created an engaging discussion on the need to find new perspectives on media technology and human experience. The discussion had as one of the starting points to better comprehend how available information

technology is expanding beyond virtual reality to mixed reality, tangible and ubiquitous computing with implications on user experience phenomena and how to study them. Using concrete cases it was discussed how new perspectives should oppose and go bevond the traditional Presence perspectives with its Cartesian dualism (Floridi 2005) and passive view of subjects putting forward constructivist and relational conceptions of human perception (Zahorik an Jenison 1998, Flach and Holdem 1998, Mantovani Riva 1999, Sheridan and 1999. Mantovani and Riva 2001). For instead example. of being and experiencing as a passive subject influenced by external stimuli, a person is assumed to be an active, intentional actor in an environment that offers different resources for actions. In connection to this discussion emerging themes were debated like the arowing interest in "places" instead of "spaces" while spaces are neutral and dimensional, places are personally, socially and culturally significant (Spagnolli and Gamberini 2005, Turner and Turner 2006).

Following the Presence 2007 panel we organised a workshop at CHI 2008 "Urban Mixed Realities: Technologies, Theories and Frontiers" (McCall et al. 2008) In this workshop 16 presentations of work were discussed which illustrated а variety of interpretations approaches and currently connected to urban environments and mixed reality. The workshops participants contributed to the discussion about what Urban Mixed Realities terms can be in of technologies. experiences. and applications. In particular the workshop aimed to explore which fields and disciplines from science, technology and art should we draw upon and the diversity of backgrounds of participants contributed review of which to approaches suitable the are in

development of urban mixed realities. Also as participants proposed concrete examples it was possible to reflect on what mixed reality systems are and what are the challenges faced by such systems (for example usability, technical and other issues). The review of different applications also served to discuss the potential personal and societal benefits of urban mixed realities.

The aim of this special issue is to continue the work from these two events and to document some of the diverse examples of research in this rapidly growing area with the aim of drawing attention to these. Moreover we aim to contribute to establishing a longer term thread of published works on this subiect that illustrate applications, systems, desians. experience studies, theories and the research agenda.

The title to this special issue uses an original and open concept. Mixed Realities (MR), to refer to experiences and environments, therefore the concept is not merely technological or referring to human experience. The decision to use this concept means that it is not just a development or extension of virtual reality but a field of its own with roots as strong as in other fields such as ubiquitous tangible computing. In this sense the concept of Mixed Reality with a singular noun is very precise and refers to a well defined area and community that aims to extend the virtual reality paradigm.

The urgency of the topic is on the one hand due to the availability of technologies that enable to combine physical and digital, real and virtual aspects. On the other hand the urgency arises due to the fact that urban environments are very promising for research as they include complex socio-material situations that provide both opportunities for applications but also higher development challenges when compared to developing and undertaking research in labs and offices.

Τo better chart the research challenges we describe how we have structured it within an European integrated project which operates within the field of urban mixed realities. IPCity (www.ipcity.eu) is an EU funded project which explores mixed reality systems to support presence and interaction in urban environments. From а technological perspective this includes developing new hardware and software platforms for use in socially dynamic settings. The objective of these systems is to easily weave into everyday practices using pervasive solutions such as mobile phones, architectural wearable systems. solutions and interventions. The project also explores the development of authoring tools so that developers and end users can easily create, modify and store content. In turn this leads to a interesting number of theoretical auestions regarding the use. construction and interpretation of urban spaces.

The IPCity project contributes also by defining application areas:

• Urban planning applications using tangible and augmented reality interfaces (Macquil et al, 2007)

• Large-scale events where interaction between people through the use of multi-touch displays and mobile devices (Peltonen et. al, 2008)

• Augmented reality games which let users experience a city in the past, present and future (Herbst et. Al 2008)

• Story telling applications which let users create and experience stories of others via online and pervasive applications (McCall et. Al 2007)

From the perspective of themes such applications cover a whole range of topics, many of which are also reflected within the papers presented in this special issue. These themes include: spatial, temporal, ambient and material aspects all of which play a role in shaping the users, designers and evaluators views of urban mixed realities.

Spatial aspects such as scale – working with objects of different scale, changing scale and dimension – as well as borders and layers. MR technologies can be used for changing the scale of virtual objects and for making invisible objects (borders, archaeology, infrastructure) visible.

Temporal aspects that support the experience of presence are of relevance for an urban situation, such as making traces of the past visible, envisioning future development or the evolution of an event. Urban rhythms play a large role in experiencing a city, such as differences between day and night as well as flow and movement (of people and traffic).

Materiality. In MR environments people engage with material and immaterial (virtual) aspects. The material environment is not only rich in awareness cues, material aspects also contribute to engaging the capacity of objects to absorb people's attention, thereby increasing their engagement with each others and the world. They are also sources of 'reality' and 'haptic directness'.

Ambience. The urban experience includes all forms of sensations about the surrounding environment. It is a crossing feeling (physicalnotion material dimension), perception (human interpretation) and life experience (social interaction). It is both a subjective and collective notion. All sorts of elements participate in the creation of the overall feeling.

Social aspects. Social interaction and scenes contribute to the experience of urban settings. The social life in urban setting is constantly changing. Culture and experience of people using and populating urban space is hidden and just partially inscribed in the material scene and only partially visible in the embodied performances of everyday (shopping, going to work) and extraordinary (demonstrations).

The four articles selected in this special issue are complementary for different reasons. First of all they are different in terms of theme including aspects such as interaction design cycles, subject studies, application and and systems reports. evaluation approaches. Moreover the articles all embody different perspectives and agenda and finally represent distinct application areas. However they all address mixed reality explicitly technology and experiences in the urban environment.

In their article "Experience design for products: interactive designing technology augmented urban sport facilities for girls" Aadjan van der Helm, Walter Aprile, David Keyson contribute with interesting insights on a interaction design project that addresses tangible computing for augmenting urban sports. exploration of the interesting An interface technologies is accompanied by rich description of interaction design processes involving users and aims to support social issues.

The article by Andrew J. Park, Thomas W. Calvert, Patricia Brantingham, and Paul J. Brantingham "The Use of Virtual and Mixed Reality Environments for Urban Behavioural Studies" shows how virtual and mixed reality technologies can be used to study urban settings phenomena such as "fear" but in a laboratory setting. 3D virtual/mixed reality models of the realworld environments are constructed in the laboratory and experiments are run with real human subjects in these environments. While on one hand the environment can be controlled in the experiments, on the other hand, the set up must ensure "suspension of disbelief" so that phenomena to be observed.

In "Tags and the City" Minna Isomursu et. al investigates a specific technology for mixed realities namely NFC (near field communication) tags in urban environments. The analysis of this technology is supported by field trials and aims to consolidate the requirements and challenges of using such technology.

The paper by Rod McCall and Anne-Kathrin Braun "Experiences of Evaluating Presence in Augmented Realities" not only shows an original application of Mixed Realities in urban settings but also provides insights into evaluation approaches including theories and methods. The article "Unified presents the concept of original experience". An game "Timewarp" from the perspective of the game design is presented along with results of user trials and how these should shape a future evaluation approach.

References

Floridi, L. (2005). The Philosophy of Presence: From Epistemic Failure to Successful Observation. *Presence*, *14*(6), 656-667.

Flach, J.M., & Holden, J.G (1998). The Reality of Experience: Gibson's way. *Presence*, *7*(1), 90-95.

Herbst, I., Braun, A, Broll, W., & McCall, R. (2008, September). *TimeWarp: Interactive Time Travel with a Mobile Mixed Reality Game*. Paper presented at Mobile HCI 2008, Amsterdam, Netherlands.

Maquil, V., Psik, T., Wagner, I., & Wagner, M. (2007). Expressive Interactions Supporting Collaboration in Urban Design. In *Proceedings of GROUP 2007* (pp. 69-78). New York, USA: ACM Press.

Mantovani, G., & Riva, G. (1999). "Real" presence: How Different Ontologies Generate Different Criteria for Presence, Telepresence, and Virtual Presence. *Presence*, *8*(5), 540-550.

Mantovani, G., & Riva, G. (2001). Building a Bridge between Different Scientific Communities: On Sheridan's Eclectic Ontology of Presence. *Presence*, *10*(5), 537-543.

McCall, R., Wagner, I., Kuutti, K., & Jacucci, G. (2007). Urban Mixed Realities: Challenges to the Traditional View of Presence. In Moreno, L., & Starlab Barcelona S.L. (Eds.), *Proceedings of Presence 2007* (pp.159-166). Barcelona, Spain: Starlab Barcelona.

McCall, R., Wagner, I., Kuutti, K., Jacucci, G., & Broll, W. (2008). Urban Mixed Realities: Technologies, Theories and Frontiers. In *Proceedings* of *CHI2008, Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (3973-3976). New York, USA: ACM Press.

Peltonen, P., Kurvinen, E., Salovaara, A., Jacucci, G., Ilmonen, T., Evans, J., Oulasvirta, A., & Saarikko, P. (2008). "It's mine, don't touch": Interactions at a large multitouch display in a city Center. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI 2008)* (pp. 1285-1294). New York, NY: ACM Press.

Sheridan, T.B. (1999). Descartes, Heidegger, Gibson, and God: toward an Eclectic Ontology of Presence. *Presence*, *8*(5), 551-559.

Spagnolli, A., & Gamberini, L. (2005). A Place for Presence. Understanding the Human Involvement in Mediated Interactive Environments. *PsychNology Journal*, *3*(1), 6-15.

Turner, P., & Turner, S. (2006). Place, Sense of Place, and Presence. *Presence*, *15*(2), 204-217.

Zahorik, P., & Jenison, R.L. (1998). Presence as Being-in-the World. *Presence*, 7(1), 78-89.

Rod McCall

Fraunhofer FIT Schloss Birlinghoven, Sankt Augustin Germany

Giulio Jacucci

Helsinki Institute for Information Technology Finland

Wolfang Broll

Fraunhofer FIT Schloss Birlinghoven, Sankt Augustin Germany

The issue of PsychNology Journal also includes two more papers. The first one is "Decoding Cognitive States from fMRI Data Using Support Vector Regression" by Maria Grazia Di Bono and Marco Zorzi: it concludes the series of works presented at CHItaly 07 and selected for publication because of the high scores received by the conference reviewers. The authors report on a decoding method that could be used to achieve the ultimate goal of correlating fMRI data to cognitive states. In the case discussed in the paper, the cognitive task consisted of a game played in a virtual environment; the results are flattering with respect to the possibilities opened by the Support Vector Machine technique described.

The second paper is entitled "Contrasting the Effectiveness and Efficiency of Virtual Reality and Real Environments in the Treatment of Acrophobia" by Carlos M. Coelho, Carlos F. Silva, Jorge A. Santos, Jennifer Tichon, Guy Wallis. The paper deals with virtual reality treatment of phobias, addressing one central issue in this field, namely the comparison between a virtual and a real exposure. The paper provides arguments for the similarity in the effectiveness of the two types of treatment in case of acrophobia, and suggests that Virtual nonetheless Reality is more advantageous given the shorter length of each session.

The Editors-in-chief